RAYSOR Transportation Consulting TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM To: TAMPA CIVIL DESIGN. LLC 17937 HUNTING BOW CIRCLE, S-102 LUTZ, FLORIDA 33558 No. 60919 FROM: MICHAEL D. RAYSOR, P.E. **RAYSOR TRANSPORTATION CONSULTING, LLC** STATE OF This item has been digitally SUBJECT: **CLEARWATER CRA APARTMENTS** signed and sealed by Michael TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY Daniel Raysor P.E., on the date LORID adjacent to the seal. Printed copies of this document are not considered ΟΛΙΔΙ DATE: MARCH 30, 2021 signed and sealed and the signature must be verified on any electronic copies

1.0 | INTRODUCTION

This technical memorandum documents a TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY undertaken in association with development permitting for the "CLEARWATER CRA APARTMENTS" project, located between Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue and Washington Avenue, north of Gould Street, in the CRA district of the City of Clearwater, Florida; as shown in *FIGURE 1.0*. The subject site is proposed for development consisting of 173 workforce housing apartment units, with site access to the external roadway network planned to be provided via a full-access site driveway connection to Washington Avenue; as shown on the project site concept plan (*FIGURE 2.0*). This TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY was performed in general accordance with City of Clearwater traffic study requirements as detailed in the traffic study methodology statement and approval documents (refer to *ATTACHMENT A*).

2.0 | PROJECT SITE TRIP GENERATION

The daily and peak hour trip generation of the project site was estimated using trip characteristic data in accordance with the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) *Trip Generation Manual* (10th edition), as summarized in *TABLE 1.0*. The distribution of project generated traffic was estimated manually based on area development patterns and the area roadway network. Refer to *FIGURE 3.0* for the estimated distribution of project generated traffic, and *FIGURES 4.1 and 4.2* for the resulting assignment of AM and PM peak hour project generated traffic.

TABLE 1.0 | PROJECT SITE TRIP GENERATION ESTIMATE

ITE	Land Use	5 :	Weel	kday		AM Pea	k Hour			PM Peak	Hour	
LUC	Description	Size	Formula	Trips	Formula	Trips	Enter	Exit	Formula	Trips	Enter	Exit
220	Multi-Family Residential	173 units	T=7.56(X) -40.86	1,266	Ln(T)=0.95* Ln(X)-0.51	80	18	62	Ln(T)=0.89* Ln(X)-0.02	96	60	36

SOURCE: INSTITUTE OF TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERS (10th EDITION)



FIGURE 1.0 | PROJECT SITE LOCATION





FIGURE 2.0 | PROJECT SITE CONCEPT PLAN





FIGURE 3.0 | ESTIMATED PROJECT TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION













FIGURE 4.2 | PROJECT GENERATED PM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES



3.0 | STUDY AREA & ANALYSIS SCENARIOS

The study area is required to consist of the roadway segments where peak hour project generated trips are estimated to consume 5% or more of the roadway segment's peak hour service volume; based on capacity values as documented in the 2020 FDOT generalized service volume tables. The study area screening, as documented in *Attachment B*, identified the following study area roadway segment:

Washington Avenue from Pierce Street to Gould Street

Intersections to be included in the study area consist of the locations listed below, which reflect (a) the intersections along the study area roadway segments, (b) the proximate signalized intersection of Pierce Street and Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue, and (c) the site access intersection:

- Washington Avenue & Pierce Street
- Washington Avenue & Gould Street
- Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue & Pierce Street
- Washington Avenue & Project Site Driveway

The traffic study was performed for a 2024 analysis-horizon, reflecting anticipated project buildout within three years or less; and evaluates background and post-development traffic conditions for AM and PM peak hour periods for the study roadway segments and study intersections for the 2024 analysis-horizon.

4.0 | TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Current traffic volumes were obtained from traffic counts conducted proximate to the project site during AM peak period (7 am to 9 am) and PM peak period (4 pm to 6 pm) conditions, and were subsequently adjusted to reflect current & typical peak season conditions. The adjustment factors included FDOT seasonal factors and FDOT factors to correct for atypical traffic volumes due to the ongoing Public Health Emergency as a result of COVID-19. The traffic counts and adjustment factors are documented in *ATTACHMENT C*. Future year background traffic volumes were calculated by adding a 2.0% per year annual growth rate to the current peak season traffic volumes through the 2024 analysis-horizon, where this growth rate is typically used for traffic studies within the City and was approved for use in this study as part of the methodology phase. Post-development traffic volumes were calculated by adding project generated trips to the background traffic volumes. *Figures 5.1 and 5.2* show AM and PM current peak hour traffic volumes. *Figures 6.1 and 6.2* show AM and PM background peak hour traffic volumes for the 2024 analysis-horizon. *Figures 7.1 and 7.2* show AM and PM post-development peak hour traffic volumes for the 2024 analysis-horizon.



















FIGURE 6.1 | AM PEAK HOUR BACKGROUND TRAFFIC VOLUMES (2024)





FIGURE 6.2 | PM PEAK HOUR BACKGROUND TRAFFIC VOLUMES (2024)













5.0 | ROADWAY SEGMENT ANALYSIS

Roadway segment analyses were undertaken for AM and PM peak hour background and post-development traffic conditions using generalized analysis methods in consideration of two-way capacity values pursuant to FDOT's generalized service volumes. The analysis is summarized in *TABLE 2.0*, as further documented in *ATTACHMENT D*. The results of the analysis indicate that the study roadway segments are anticipated to operate acceptably for AM and PM peak hour background and post-development traffic conditions; at level-of-service "C", with volume-to-capacity ratios no greater than 0.05.

De dura Comunit		LOS	Service	Peak	Back	ground Tra	affic	Total Traffic			
Koadway Segment	Lanes	Std	Volume	Hour	Volume	LOS	V/C	Volume	LOS	v/c	
Washington Avenue	211	D	020	AM	3	С	0.00	39	С	0.04	
Pierce Street to Project Site	20	U	930	PM	8	С	0.01	51	С	0.01	
Washington Avenue	211		020	AM	3	С	0.00	47	С	0.05	
Gould Street to Project Site	2U	D	930	PM	8	С	0.01	61	С	0.01	

TABLE 2.0 | ROADWAY SEGMENT ANALYSIS SUMMARY

6.0 | INTERSECTION ANALYSIS

An operational analysis of the study intersections was conducted. The analysis was performed for AM and PM peak hour background and post-development traffic conditions using *Highway Capacity Manual* methodologies calculated by the *Synchro* software program; in consideration of existing traffic signal timings based on field observations (where applicable). The analysis is summarized in *TABLES 3.1 and 3.2*, as further documented and further documented in *Attachment E*. The results of the analysis indicate that all traffic movements are anticipated to operate acceptably for AM and PM peak hour background and post-development traffic conditions; at level-of-service "B" or better, with volume-to-capacity ratios no greater than 0.10.



	Peak		E	astboun	d	v	Vestbour	nd	N	orthbou	nd	Sc	outhbour	nd	Over
Location	Hour	wietric	L	т	R	L	т	R	L	т	R	L	т	R	all
		LOS	[2]	В	[2]	[2]	В	[2]	[2]	Α	[2]	[2]	A	[2]	Α
ue t	AM	Delay	[2]	12.4	[2]	[2]	12.4	[2]	[2]	2.3	[2]	[2]	2.4	[2]	2.6
r. Aven e Stree		V/C	[2]	-	[2]	[2]	-	[2]	[2]	-	[2]	[2]	-	[2]	
MLK J		LOS	[2]	В	[2]	[2]	В	[2]	[2]	Α	[2]	[2]	А	[2]	Α
Dr. 8	PM	Delay	[2]	12.0	[2]	[2]	11.9	[2]	[2]	3.0	[2]	[2]	2.9	[2]	2.6
		V/C	[2]	-	[2]	[2]	-	[2]	[2]	-	[2]	[2]	-	[2]	
		LOS	[2]	Α	[2]	[2]	Α	[2]	[2]	Α	[2]	[2]	А	[2]	[1]
ue t	AM	Delay	[2]	7.2	[2]	[2]	0.0	[2]	[2]	8.7	[2]	[2]	0.0	[2]	[1]
n Aven e Stree		V/C	[2]	0.00	[2]	[2]	0.00	[2]	[2]	0.00	[2]	[2]	0.00	[2]	[1]
hingto Pierce		LOS	[2]	Α	[2]	[2]	Α	[2]	[2]	Α	[2]	[2]	A	[2]	[1]
Was &	PM	Delay	[2]	7.2	[2]	[2]	7.2	[2]	[2]	8.8	[2]	[2]	8.3	[2]	[1]
		V/C	[2]	0.00	[2]	[2]	0.00	[2]	[2]	0.01	[2]	[2]	0.00	[2]	[1]
		LOS	[2]	Α	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	Α	[1]	[2]	[1]
t ue	AM	Delay	[2]	0.0	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	8.7	[1]	[2]	[1]
n Aven I Stree		V/C	[2]	0.00	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.00	[1]	[2]	[1]
shingto & Gould		LOS	[2]	Α	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	Α	[1]	[2]	[1]
Was 8	PM	Delay	[2]	7.2	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	8.7	[1]	[2]	[1]
		V/C	[2]	0.00	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.00	[1]	[2]	[1]
		LOS	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[1]	[1]
ue eway	AM	Delay	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[1]	[1]
n Aven te Driv		V/C	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[1]	[1]
shingto ject Si		LOS	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[1]	[1]
Was & Pro	PM	Delay	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[1]	[1]
		V/C	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[1]	[1]

[1] NOT APPLICABLE [2] SHARED LANE [3] UNOPPOSED MOVEMENT



	Peak		E	astboun	d	v	Vestbour	nd	N	orthbou	nd	So	outhbour	nd	Over
Location	Hour	Metric	L	т	R	L	т	R	L	т	R	L	т	R	all
		LOS	[2]	В	[2]	[2]	В	[2]	[2]	Α	[2]	[2]	A	[2]	Α
ue t	AM	Delay	[2]	11.9	[2]	[2]	12.2	[2]	[2]	2.7	[2]	[2]	2.7	[2]	3.5
r. Aven e Stree		V/C	[2]	-	[2]	[2]	-	[2]	[2]		[2]	[2]	-	[2]	
. MLK J & Pierc		LOS	[2]	В	[2]	[2]	В	[2]	[2]	Α	[2]	[2]	A	[2]	А
D 8	PM	Delay	[2]	11.8	[2]	[2]	11.9	[2]	[2]	3.2	[2]	[2]	3.1	[2]	3.9
		V/C	[2]		[2]	[2]		[2]	[2]		[2]	[2]		[2]	
		LOS	[2]	Α	[2]	[2]	Α	[2]	[2]	Α	[2]	[2]	А	[2]	[1]
iue	AM	Delay	[2]	7.2	[2]	[2]	7.2	[2]	[2]	8.9	[2]	[2]	9.2	[2]	[1]
on Aver e Stree		V/C	[2]	0.00	[2]	[2]	0.00	[2]	[2]	0.05	[2]	[2]	0.00	[2]	[1]
shingto & Pierc		LOS	[2]	Α	[2]	[2]	Α	[2]	[2]	Α	[2]	[2]	А	[2]	[1]
Wa 8	PM	Delay	[2]	7.2	[2]	[2]	7.3	[2]	[2]	8.9	[2]	[2]	9.1	[2]	[1]
		V/C	[2]	0.00	[2]	[2]	0.00	[2]	[2]	0.03	[2]	[2]	0.01	[2]	[1]
		LOS	[2]	Α	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	Α	[1]	[2]	[1]
iue t	AM	Delay	[2]	7.2	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	8.7	[1]	[2]	[1]
n Aver d Stree		V/C	[2]	0.01	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.05	[1]	[2]	[1]
shingtc & Goul		LOS	[2]	Α	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	Α	[1]	[2]	[1]
Wa 8	PM	Delay	[2]	7.3	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	8.8	[1]	[2]	[1]
		V/C	[2]	0.02	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.03	[1]	[2]	[1]
		LOS	Α	[1]	[2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[2]	Α	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[1]
ue eway	AM	Delay	8.9	[1]	[2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[2]	7.2	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[1]
n Aven te Driv		V/C	0.10	[1]	[2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[2]	0.01	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[1]
shingto Jject Si		LOS	Α	[1]	[2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[2]	Α	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[1]
Wa. & Pro	PM	Delay	8.9	[1]	[2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[2]	7.3	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[1]
		V/C	0.04	[1]	[2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[2]	0.02	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[1]

[1] NOT APPLICABLE [2] SHARED LANE [3] UNOPPOSED MOVEMENT

7.0 SITE ACCESS TURN LANE WARRANT EVALUATION

A turn lane warrant evaluation was performed for the project site driveway connection to Washington Avenue. The evaluation was performed using the turn lane warrant criteria pursuant to *National Cooperative Highway Research Program, Report No. 279*; as documented in *Attachment F*. The results of the analysis identified that new site access turn lanes are not warranted on Washington Avenue at the project site driveway connection.

Sight distance requirements for the project site driveway connection to Washington Avenue will be addressed by the Site Civil Engineer of Record in association with the site plan permitting process.

8.0 TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT PLAN

Pursuant to the City of Clearwater Community Development Code §4-904, the City has adopted a Mobility Management System to provide for a more flexible and efficient alternative to the traditional form of transportation concurrency management, which ties development approvals to maintaining adopted roadway level of service standards, while facilitating multi-modal transportation solutions. The subject project is considered a Tier 1 project (generating between 51 and 300 new peak hour trips). Developers of Tier 1 projects located within deficient road corridors are required to submit a TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT PLAN designed to address their impacts while increasing mobility and reducing the demand for single occupant vehicle travel. Based on the results of this study, the subject project has been found to not be located within a deficient roadway corridor. Therefore, pursuant to the City of Clearwater Community Development Code §4-904.C.6, a TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT PLAN is not required for the project in association with development permitting.

9.0 CONCLUSION

Based on the data, analyses and findings presented within this TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY prepared in association with development permitting for the "CLEARWATER CRA APARTMENTS" project, the following is concluded.

THE STUDY AREA ROADWAYS AND INTERSECTIONS ARE ANTICIPATED TO OPERATE ACCEPTABLY FOR AM AND PM PEAK HOUR BACKGROUND AND POST-DEVELOPMENT TRAFFIC CONDITIONS.

NEW SITE ACCESS TURN LANES WERE FOUND TO NOT BE WARRANTED ON WASHINGTON AVENUE AT THE PROJECT SITE DRIVEWAY CONNECTION, IN CONSIDERATION OF PEAK HOUR POST-DEVELOPMENT TRAFFIC CONDITIONS.

SINCE THE PROJECT SITE IS NOT LOCATED WITHIN A DEFICIENT ROADWAY CORRIDOR, A TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT PLAN IS NOT REQUIRED FOR THE PROJECT IN ASSOCIATION WITH DEVELOPMENT PERMITTING.



CLEARWATER CRA APARTMENTS TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

ATTACHMENT A

METHODOLOGY DOCUMENTS





February 9, 2021

Mr. Bennett Elbo, PTP City of Clearwater 100 South Myrtle Avenue Clearwater, Florida 33756

SUBJECT: CLEARWATER CRA WORKFORCE HOUSING Traffic Impact Study Methodology Statement

Dear Mr. Elbo,

This letter documents our proposed methodology for undertaking the TANFIC NUMACT STUDY required in association with development permitting for the "CLAMMATE CRA WOMMONE MOUSING" project. The following methodology has been prepared in general accordance with Cry of Clearwater traffic study requirements.

TRAFFIC ENGINEERING

DEVELO

PROFCT DESCRIPTION The subject project site is located between Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue and Washington Avenue, north of Gould Street, in the CRA district of the City of Cleanwater, Florida; as shown in Artacenter A. The subject project is proposed for development consisting of 173 workforce housing apartment units, with site access to the external roadway network planned to be provided via a full-access site driveway connection to Washington Avenue; as shown on the project site concept plan (refer to Artaneteer B).

TRIP GENERATION & DISTRIBUTION

Introduction to balance of the project site was estimated using trip characteristic data in accordance with the daily and peak hour trip generation of the project site was estimated using trip characteristic data in accordance with the Institute of Transportation Engineers (TEI) Trip Generation Monual (10th edition), as documented in Arranewar C. The distribution of project generated traffic was estimated manually based on area development patterns and the area roadway network. Refer to Arranewar D for the distribution of project generated traffic for use in the traffic study.

STUDY AREA

STORY AREA The study area is required to consist of the roadway segments where peak hour project generated trips are estimated to consume 5% or more of the roadway segment's peak hour service volume; based on capacity values as documented in the 2020 FDOT generalized service volume tables. The study area screening, as documented in **ATTACHMENT E**, identified the following study area roadway segment:

Washington Avenue from Pierce Street to Gould Street

Intersections to be included in the study area will consist of the locations listed below, which reflect (a) the intersections along the study area roadway segments, and (B) the site access intersection:

- Washington Avenue & Pierce Street
- Washington Avenue & Gould Street
 Washington Avenue & Project Site Driveway

AMALYSS SCHARGO The traffic study will consider a 2024 analysis-horizon (reflecting anticipated project buildout). The study will evaluate background and post-development traffic conditions for AA and PA peak hour periods for the study roadway segments and study intersections.

BRUCE B. DOWINS BOULEVARD | SUITE 308 E TAMPA, FLORIDA | 33647 E (813) 625-1699 E WWW.R/

RAYSOR Transportation Consulting

CLEARWATER CRA WORKFORCE HOUSING TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY METHODOLOGY STATEMENT FEBRUARY 9, 2021 PAGE 2 OF 2

TRAFFL YOLUMES Existing Tarffic volumes will be determined from traffic counts to be conducted at the study intersections during AM & MP yeak periods (7 am to 9 am & 4 pm to 6 pm), and adjusted to reflect peak season conditions using FDOT's seasonal adjustment factors. In addition, in consideration of the potential for atypical traffic volumes due to the current and ongoing Public Health Emergency as a result of COVID-19, the traffic counts will also be adjusted using FDOT factors to minute the study of the reflect typical volumes

Background traffic volumes will be calculated to reflect the 2024 analysis-horizon using an annual growth rate of 2% where this growth rate is typically used for traffic studies within the City. Post-development traffic volumes for the 2024 analysis-horizon will be calculated by adding project generated traffic to the 2024 background traffic volumes.

ANALYSIS PROCEDURES

Amars9 Poccounds The analysis of study cradway segments will initially be undertaken using the service volumes as documented in the 2020 FDOT generalized service volume tables; where detailed analysis methods (HCM/ Ar4Ptan) will be used if found to be necessary based on the results of the generalized analysis. The analysis of the study intersections will be undertain using Synchro analysis software (version 10.0) using *Highway Capacity Monual* procedures. The analysis of signalized intersections (Han yi) will be performed in consideration of existing traffic signal timings based on field observations, where any revisions to those timings will be identified in the traffic study report.

DOCUMENTATION

TURN LANE ANALYSE A site access turn lane warrant and length analysis will be performed for the intersection of the site access driveway connection to Washington Avenue. The need for site access turn lanes will be evaluated against NCHRP/FDOT warranting criteria.

Mmsanow If deficiencies are identified, mitigation for project impacts will be identified in coordination with City staff, in consideration of Rorida State Statute (as limited thereunder in consideration of backlogged facilities).

DOCUMENTATION A report documenting the traffic study will be prepared for submittal to the County. The report will be signed and sealed by a professional engineer registered in the State of Florida. If you should have any questions or comments regarding the materials discussed herein, please feel free to contact me

Sincerely

RAYSOR Transportation Consulting, LLC Michael D. Raysor, P.E. President

ATTACHMENTS A: Project Site Location Map B: Project Site Concept Plan C: Project Site Trip Generation Estimate D: Project Traffic Distribution E: Study Area Screening

R

RD | SUITE 308 TAMPA, FLORIDA | 33647 E (813) 625-1699 E \







Consulting				Sti	uay Area Scree	ening (1
Roadway Segment	LOS	Service	PM Per Project	ak Hour : Traffic	Percent	Stud
	Std	Volume	Percent	Volume	Capacity	Road
Cleveland Street west of MLK Jr Avenue	D	1,260	15.0%	14	1.1%	No
Cleveland Street MLK Jr Avenue to Washington Avenue	D	1,260	5.0%	5	0.4%	No
Cleveland Street Washington Avenue to Madison Avenue	D	1,260	15.0%	14	1.1%	No
Cleveland Street east of Madison Avenue	D	1,260	20.0%	19	1.5%	No
Pierce Street west of MLK Jr Avenue	D	930	0.0%	0	0.0%	No
Pierce Street MLK Jr Avenue to Washington Avenue	D	930	30.0%	29	3.1%	No
Pierce Street Washington Avenue to Madison Avenue	D	930	5.0%	5	0.5%	No
Madison Avenue Cleveland Street to Pierce Street	D	1,260	5.0%	5	0.4%	No
Madison Avenue Pierce Street to Gould Street	D	930	0.0%	0	0.0%	No
Madison Avenue Gould Street to Brownell Street	D	930	10.0%	10	1.1%	No
Madison Avenue Brownell Street to Court Street	D	930	10.0%	10	1.1%	No
Court Street & Chestnut Street west of Ewing Avenue	D	4,450	15.0%	14	0.3%	No
Court Street & Chestnut Street Ewing Avenue to MLK Jr Avenue	D	2,920	15.0%	14	0.5%	No
Court Street MLK Jr Avenue to Madison Avenue	D	2,920	15.0%	14	0.5%	No
Court Street Madison Avenue to Missouri Avenue	D	2,920	25.0%	24	0.8%	No

				Stu	dy Area Scree	ening (2 of 2
	LOS	Service	PM Pea Project	ik Hour Traffic	Percent	Study
Roadway Segment	Std	Volume	Percent	Volume	Capacity	Area Road
Ewing Avenue Court Street to Pierce Street	D	930	0.0%	0	0.0%	No
Martin Luther King Jr Ave north of Cleveland Street	D	1,200	10.0%	10	0.8%	No
Martin Luther King Jr Ave Cleveland Street to Pierce Street	D	1,200	30.0%	29	2.4%	No
Martin Luther King Jr Ave Pierce Street to Gould Street	D	1,200	0.0%	0	0.0%	No
Martin Luther King Jr Ave Sould Street to Brownell Street	D	1,200	35.0%	34	2.8%	No
Martin Luther King Jr Ave Brownell Street to Court Street	D	1,200	35.0%	34	2.8%	No
Martin Luther King Jr Ave wuth of Court Street	D	1,200	5.0%	5	0.4%	No
Washington Avenue Cleveland Street to Pierce Street	D	930	10.0%	10	1.1%	No
Washington Avenue Pierce Street to Project Access	D	930	45.0%	43	4.6%	No
Washington Avenue Project Access to Gould Street	D	930	55.0%	53	5.7%	Yes
Sould Street MLK Jr Avenue to Washington Avenue	D	930	35.0%	34	3.7%	No
Gould Street Washington Avenue to Madison Avenue	D	930	20.0%	19	2.0%	No
Gould Street Madison Avenue to Missouri Avenue	D	930	10.0%	10	1.1%	No
Brownell Street MLK Jr Avenue to Madison Avenue	D	930	0.0%	0	0.0%	No
Brownell Street Madison Avenue to Missouri Avenue	D	930	0.0%	0	0.0%	No



CLEARWATER CRA APARTMENTS TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

ATTACHMENT B

STUDY AREA SCREENING





CLEARWATER CRA WORKFORCE HOUSING

Study Area Screening (1 of 2)

Roadway Segment	LOS	Service	PM Pea Project	ık Hour Traffic	Percent	Study
Roadway Segment	Std	Volume	Percent	Volume	Capacity	Road
Cleveland Street west of MLK Jr Avenue	D	1,260	15.0%	14	1.1%	No
Cleveland Street <i>MLK Jr Avenue to Washington Avenue</i>	D	1,260	5.0%	5	0.4%	No
Cleveland Street Washington Avenue to Madison Avenue	D	1,260	15.0%	14	1.1%	No
Cleveland Street east of Madison Avenue	D	1,260	20.0%	19	1.5%	No
Pierce Street west of MLK Jr Avenue	D	930	0.0%	0	0.0%	No
Pierce Street MLK Jr Avenue to Washington Avenue	D	930	30.0%	29	3.1%	No
Pierce Street Washington Avenue to Madison Avenue	D	930	5.0%	5	0.5%	No
Madison Avenue Cleveland Street to Pierce Street	D	1,260	5.0%	5	0.4%	No
Madison Avenue Pierce Street to Gould Street	D	930	0.0%	0	0.0%	No
Madison Avenue Gould Street to Brownell Street	D	930	10.0%	10	1.1%	No
Madison Avenue Brownell Street to Court Street	D	930	10.0%	10	1.1%	No
Court Street & Chestnut Street west of Ewing Avenue	D	4,450	15.0%	14	0.3%	No
Court Street & Chestnut Street Ewing Avenue to MLK Jr Avenue	D	2,920	15.0%	14	0.5%	No
Court Street MLK Jr Avenue to Madison Avenue	D	2,920	15.0%	14	0.5%	No
Court Street Madison Avenue to Missouri Avenue	D	2,920	25.0%	24	0.8%	No



CLEARWATER CRA WORKFORCE HOUSING

Study Area Screening (2 of 2)

Postusy Cogmont	LOS	Service	PM Pea Project	ik Hour Traffic	Percent	Study
Kuauway Segment	Std	Volume	Percent	Volume	Capacity	Road
Ewing Avenue Court Street to Pierce Street	D	930	0.0%	0	0.0%	No
Martin Luther King Jr Ave north of Cleveland Street	D	1,200	10.0%	10	0.8%	No
Martin Luther King Jr Ave Cleveland Street to Pierce Street	D	1,200	30.0%	29	2.4%	No
Martin Luther King Jr Ave Pierce Street to Gould Street	D	1,200	0.0%	0	0.0%	No
Martin Luther King Jr Ave Gould Street to Brownell Street	D	1,200	35.0%	34	2.8%	No
Martin Luther King Jr Ave Brownell Street to Court Street	D	1,200	35.0%	34	2.8%	No
Martin Luther King Jr Ave south of Court Street	D	1,200	5.0%	5	0.4%	No
Washington Avenue Cleveland Street to Pierce Street	D	930	10.0%	10	1.1%	No
Washington Avenue Pierce Street to Project Access	D	930	45.0%	43	4.6%	No
Washington Avenue Project Access to Gould Street	D	930	55.0%	53	5.7%	Yes
Gould Street MLK Jr Avenue to Washington Avenue	D	930	35.0%	34	3.7%	No
Gould Street Washington Avenue to Madison Avenue	D	930	20.0%	19	2.0%	No
Gould Street Madison Avenue to Missouri Avenue	D	930	10.0%	10	1.1%	No
Brownell Street MLK Jr Avenue to Madison Avenue	D	930	0.0%	0	0.0%	No
Brownell Street Madison Avenue to Missouri Avenue	D	930	0.0%	0	0.0%	No

CLEARWATER CRA APARTMENTS TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

ATTACHMENT C

TRAFFIC VOLUMES & ADJUSTMENT FACTORS



_						
Type o	f peak	hour	being	reported:	Intersection	Peak

Method for determining peak hour: Total Entering Volume

LOCATION: S CITY/STATE:	S Wasl Clear	hingt wate	on Av r, FL	'e F	ierce	st													QC DATE:	: JOB : Tue,	#: 153 Feb 16	54701 5 2021
4 ◆ 1 3 4 → 0		2 0 0 6 3 1 1 2	 € 0 ♣ 4 ₣ 0 	← 4→ 4			Ρ	Peak-l Peak 1	Hour 5-Mir (ual	: 7:15 n: 7:4		8:1 8:0	5 AM 00 AM	I			0 🔶	0 3 0 3 0 7			• 0 • • 0 • 0 •	0
4 1			1 1	_				@					 +\$				_	0 • • 0 • 0			€ 0 ← 0 € 0	
و پ ۱۷/۹ م ۲ خ			• N/A	*				\$				4					_	و ج ۸/۸ ج		A	e ⊨ N/A	
15-Min Count		S Was	hingto	n Ave			S Was	hingtor	1 Ave			Р	ierce St	t			F	Pierce	St			R* = RTOR
Period Beginning At	Left	(Noi Thru	Right	nd) U	R*	Left	(So Thru	uthbou Right	nd) U	R*	Left	(Ea Thru	stboun Right	d) U	R*	Left	(W Thru	estbo Right	und) : U	R*	Total	Totals
7:00 AM 7:15 AM 7:30 AM 7:45 AM 8:00 AM 8:15 AM 8:30 AM 8:30 AM	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 2 0 1 1 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 0 1 2 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 3 0 4 3 1 2 3	9 10 8 10 9
Peak 15-Min		Nor	thbou	nd			So	uthbou	nd			Ea	stboun	d			W	estbo	und		То	tal
All Vehicles	Left 0	0	Right 4	0	к* 0	Left 0	0	Right 0	0	R*	Left 0	8	Right 0	0	R*	Left 0	Ihru 4	Right	0	R*	1	6
Heavy Trucks Buses Pedestrians Bicycles Scooters	0	0 4 0	0			0	0 0 0	0			0	0 4 0	0			0	0 0 0	0			(() 3)
Comments:																						

Report generated on 2/22/2021 11:59 AM

Type of peak ho	our bei	ng repor	ted: I	nterse	ection	Peak							I	Metho	od for	deterr	nining	peak	hour: ⁻	Total E	ntering	Volume
LOCATION:	S Was	shingto	n Av	e P	ierce	St												_	QC	JOB	#: 1536	54702
CITY/STATE:	Clear	rwater,	FL															D	ATE:	Tue,	Feb 16	5 2021
5 + 1 11 13 + 1		4 0 0 0 • • • • • 0.86 • • 3 2 6	0 2 1	 ◆ 3 ◆ 13 			I	Peak- Peak 1	Hour 5-Mi (ual	r: 4:00 in: 4:4		5:0 I 5: Dun	0 PM 00 PM	1			0 +	0 J 0 + 0 7			• 0 • • 0 • 0 •	0
٥]		°→ [1	_									¢				_	€ 0 ← 0 € 0			• 1 • 0	
+			► N/A	+				-\$+									_	د + ۸/۸ ۲			⊾ ► N/A	D* - DT∩D
15-Min Count		S Washi	ngtor	n Ave			S Wa	shingto	n Ave			P /5a	ierce S	t d)			F	Pierce	St und)		Tatal	Hourly
Beginning At	Left	Thru R	light	U	R*	Left	Thru	Right	U	R*	Left	Thru	Right	U	R*	Left	Thru	Right	U	R*	TOLA	Totals
4:00 PM 4:15 PM	1 0	1 0	1 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	2 3	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 1	1 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	6 5	
4:30 PM 4:45 PM	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	24
5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	22
5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	18
5:45 PM	0	0 Norti	0 bbou	0 nd	0	0	0	0 uthbou	0	0	0	0 Ea	0 sthoun	0	0	0	0	0 estbou	0 Ind	0	0	11
Flowrates	Left	Thru R	ight	U	R*	Left	Thru	Right	U	R*	Left	Thru	Right	U	R*	Left	Thru	Right	U	R*	To	tal
All Vehicles Heavy Trucks	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	8 0	0	0	4	8 0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	8
Buses Pedestrians Bicycles Scooters	0	0	0			0	0	0			0	0 0	0			0	0	0			()
Commontes																						

Report generated on 2/22/2021 11:59 AM

LOCATION: S Washington Ave Gould St CITY/STATE: Clearwater, FL 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0	15364703 b 16 2021 0 ★ 0 0 ★ 0
CITY/STATE: Clearwater, FL DATE: Tue, Fel $ \begin{array}{c} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 &$	b 16 2021
Peak-Hour: 8:00 AM - 9:00 AM $Peak 15-Min: 8:45 AM - 9:00 AM$	0 + 0 0 + 0 3
	0 3 2
$ \begin{array}{c c} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & $	/A
15-Min Count S Washington Ave S Washington Ave Gould St (Northbound) (Southbound) (Southbound) (Southbound)	Hourly
Beginning At Left Thru Right U R* Left Thru Right U R*	Totals
7:00 AM 0 </td <td>2 2 6 3 13 4 15 7 20 5 19 8 24</td>	2 2 6 3 13 4 15 7 20 5 19 8 24
Peak 15-Min Northbound Southbound Eastbound Westbound	Total
Flowrates Left Thru Right U R* Left Thru Right U R* Left Thru Right U R*	
All Vehicles 0 <t< td=""><td>32 0</td></t<>	32 0
Buses Pedestrians 0 0 0 0 Bicycles 0 0 0 0 0 12 0 Scooters - <t< td=""><td>0 12</td></t<>	0 12

Comments: Report generated on 2/22/2021 11:59 AM

LOCATION: S CITY/STATE:	S Wasl Clear	hingt wate	on Av r, FL	'e C	Gould	ould St											QC JOB #: 15364704 DATE: Tue, Feb 16 2021							
$\begin{array}{c} 6 & \bullet & 3 \\ & 11 \\ 14 & \bullet & 0 \end{array}$		6 2 4 78 0 0 0	€ 3 ← 6 ₹ 0	◆ 9◆ 13			I	Peak 1 Peak 1	Hour L5-Mi	r: 4:00 in: 4:4 Lity		5:0 1 5:	00 PM :00 PM											
0			1 °						*								_	0 € 2 ← 0 7 0			€ 0 € 1 € 0			
+ + N/A + + -				<i>≛</i>					@				_	3 N/A + 1 7			L ► N/A F	54 - 5705						
15-Min Count Period Beginning At	left	S Was (No	hingtor rthbou Bight	n Ave nd)	R*	Loft	S Was (So	shingto uthbou Bight	n Ave Ind)	R*	Loft	(Ea	Sould S Istbour	t nd)	R*	Left	(We	Sould S estbou Right	St Ind)	R*	Total	Hourly Totals		
4:00 PM 4:15 PM 4:30 PM 4:45 PM 5:00 PM 5:15 PM 5:30 PM 5:30 PM 5:345 PM	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Left Thru Right U R* 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					1 3 0 0 0 1 3 0 0 0 1 3 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 1 3 0 0 0 1 3 0 0 0 1 3 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 5 8 5 4 2 4	25 24 22 19 15				
Peak 15-Min Flowrates	Northbound Left Thru Right U R*					Left	So Thru	uthbou Right	ind U	R*	Left	Ea Thru	stboun Right	ld U	R*	Left	We Thru	estbou Right	nd U	R*	То	tal		
All Vehicles Heavy Trucks Buses Pedestrians Bicycles Scooters	0 0 0	0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							0 0 0	12 0 0 0 0 0 4 0				32 0 0 8									

Comments:

Report generated on 2/22/2021 11:59 AM

Type of peak hour being reported: Intersection Peak

SOURCE: Quality Counts, LLC (http://www.qualitycounts.net) 1-877-580-2212

Method for determining peak hour: Total Entering Volume

Type of peak hour being reported: Intersec	tion Peak
--	-----------

LOCATION: S CITY/STATE:	S MLK Jr A Clearwat	ve P er, FL	ierce S	St													D	QC ATE:	JOB Tue,	‡ : 1536 Feb 16	54705 2021
9 ★ 4 . 0 . 5 ★ 1 .	142 12 * * * * 128 * * * * * 097 1 118 * 130 12	4 • 2 • 0 • 1	✤ 3♣ 9		Peak-Hour: 7:45 AM 8:45 AM Peak 15-Min: 7:45 AM 8:00 AM											0 ← 0 →	0 J 0 → 0 →	53 0 63 • • • • • • 0 34 • 62	3.2 16.7 33.3 4.1	0 + 0 0 + 2	0 22
0		1 3	_				≇ ↓	•								_	€ 0 ← 0 € 0			• 1 • 0	
+ N/A + →	N/A	€ € € €	+				₩				4					_	₹ N/A + ₹			⊾ ►N/A	
15-Min Count Period	S (N	MLK Jr / orthbou	Ave Ind)			S N (So	ILK Jr A uthbou	lve nd)			P (Ea	ierce S stboun	t id)			P (We	ierce s	St Ind)		Total	Hourly Totals
7:00 AM 7:15 AM 7:30 AM 7:45 AM	Left Thru 0 11 0 11 0 16 1 32	Right 0 0 0 0 0	U 0 0 0	R* 0 0 2 0	Left 1 1 0 2	Thru 19 23 27 29	Right 0 1 1 5	U 0 0 0	R* 0 0 0 0 0	Left 1 2 0	Thru 0 0 0	Right 0 1 0 0	U 0 0 0	R* 1 1 0 0	Left 1 0 1 1	Thru 0 0 0	Right 0 0 0	U 0 0 0	R* 0 0 0 0 0	34 39 49 70	192
8:00 AM 8:15 AM 8:30 AM	0 27 0 29 0 30	2 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 3 1	36 34 29	1 2 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	2 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 0	0 0 1	0 0 0	0 1 0	68 69 65	226 256 272
Peak 15-Min	N	orthbou	nd	•	5	Sou	uthbou	nd	5	-	Ea	stboun	d	-	, , 	We	estbou	ind	T	To	230
Flowrates All Vehicles	Left Thru 4 128	Right	0	R*	Left 8	Thru 116	Right 20	U	R*	Left	Thru	Right	0	R*	Left	Thru	Right	0	R*	25	30
Heavy Trucks	0 8	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U	U	1	6
Pedestrians Bicycles Scooters	0 0	0			0	4 0	0			0	0 0	0			0	0 4	0			2	
Comments:																					

Report generated on 2/22/2021 11:59 AM

Type of peak hour being reported: Inters	ection Peak
--	-------------

LOCATION: S CITY/STATE:	S MLK Jr Clearwa	Ave F ter, FL	Pierce	St													C	QC DATE:	J OB Tue,	# : 1536 Feb 16	54706 5 2021
8 ◆ 9 , 4 , 15 → 2 ,	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	04 * 5 • 6 + 1 • 4 * 3 * 1 • 4	+ 11 + 12			Ρ	Peak- Peak 1	Hour 5-Mi (ual			5:3 5: 	0 PM 15 PM	1			0 ←	0 + 0 +	19 0 13 1 0 42 13	3.9 20 4 20 4.1	• 0 • • 0	0 83
•]			2				\$₹	•				¥				_	€ 0 ♦ 0 € 0			€ 0 ← 0 € 0	
+ N/A + →	N/A	*				÷				4	*				_	و ۸/Α ← ۲			⊾ ► N/A		
15-Min Count		6 MLK Jr	Ave			S N (Sou	ILK Jr A	ve nd)			P (Fa	ierce S	t d)			F	Pierce	St und)		Total	Hourly
Beginning At	Left Th	ru Right	U	R*	Left	Thru	Right	U	R*	Left	Thru	Right	U	R*	Left	Thru	Right	: U	R*		Totals
4:00 PM 4:15 PM 4:30 PM 4:45 PM 5:00 PM 5:15 PM 5:30 PM 5:30 PM 5:45 PM	0 40 0 42 1 40 1 43 0 57 0 43 0 30 1 29	5 2 2 1 5 2 3 1 7 0 3 0 5 1 9 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 2 1 1 0 0	28 32 26 37 43 46 35 41	0 1 2 1 2 0 2 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 5 3 1 2 3 6 2	0 1 2 0 0 2 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 1 0	1 0 1 0 3 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 0 1 2 2 0 0 0 0	85 83 85 89 111 97 83 74	342 368 382 380 365
Peak 15-Min Flowrates	Left Th	Northbou u Right	und U	R*	Left	Sou Thru	ithbou Right	nd U	R*	Left	Ea Thru	stboun Right	d U	R*	Left	W	estbou Right	und U	R*	То	tal
All Vehicles Heavy Trucks Buses Pedestrians Bicycles Scooters	0 22 0 8 0 0 0		0	0	4 0 0	172 4 0 0	8 0 0	0	0	8 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 0 0	0	0	12 0 0	0 0 0 0	8 0 0	0	8	45 1 (52 2))
comments:																					

Report generated on 2/22/2021 11:59 AM

CATEGO	DRY: 1500 PIN	ELLAS COUNTYN	IDE	
WEEK	DATES		SF	MOCF: 0.93 PSCF
1 2 3 4 5	01/01/2019 - 01/06/2019 - 01/13/2019 - 01/20/2019 - 01/27/2019 -	01/05/2019 01/12/2019 01/19/2019 01/26/2019 02/02/2019	1.04 1.03 1.02 1.00 0.98	1.12 1.11 1.10 1.08 1.05
* 7	02/10/2019 -	02/16/2019	0.93	1.00
* 9 *10 *11 *12 *14 *15 *16 *17 *19 20 21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	02/14/2019 02/24/2019 - 03/03/2019 - 03/10/2019 - 03/17/2019 - 03/24/2019 - 03/31/2019 - 04/07/2019 - 04/21/2019 - 04/21/2019 - 05/05/2019 - 05/12/2019 - 05/12/2019 - 05/26/2019 - 06/02/2019 - 06/02/2019 - 06/02/2019 - 06/30/2019 - 06/30/2019 - 06/30/2019 - 07/14/2019 - 07/21/2019 - 07/21/2019 - 07/28/2019 - 07/28/2019 - 08/04/2019 - 08/11/2019 - 08/12/2019 - 08/25/2019 - 09/01/2019 - 09/01/2019 - 09/01/2019 - 09/22/2019 - 09/22/2019 - 09/22/2019 - 10/27/2019 - 10/202019 - 10/27/2019 - 11/03/2019 - 11/03/2019 - 11/03/2019 - 11/03/2019 - 11/03/2019 - 11/03/2019 - 11/03/2019 - 12/28/2019 -	0272372019 03/02/2019 03/09/2019 03/23/2019 03/30/2019 04/06/2019 04/20/2019 04/20/2019 04/27/2019 04/27/2019 05/04/2019 05/11/2019 05/18/2019 06/01/2019 06/01/2019 06/22/2019 06/22/2019 06/22/2019 06/22/2019 06/22/2019 07/20/2019 07/20/2019 07/27/2019 08/03/2019 08/03/2019 08/03/2019 08/10/2019 08/24/2019 08/24/2019 08/24/2019 08/24/2019 08/21/2019 09/21/2019 10/12/2019 10/12/2019 10/26/2019 11/02/2019 11/02/2019 11/02/2019 11/02/2019 11/02/2019 11/02/2019 11/02/2019 11/02/2019 11/02/2019 11/02/2019 11/02/2019 11/02/2019 11/02/2019 11/02/2019 11/02/2019 11/02/2019 11/23/2019 12/07/2019 12/21/2019 12/21/2019	0.92 0.91 0.91 0.92 0.93 0.94 0.95 0.96 0.97 0.98 0.99 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.01 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.03 1.03 1.03 1.04 1.05 1.06 1.06 1.07 1.06 1.05 1.06 1.07 1.06 1.05 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.02	$\begin{array}{c} 0.99\\ 0.98\\ 0.98\\ 0.98\\ 0.98\\ 0.99\\ 1.00\\ 1.01\\ 1.02\\ 1.03\\ 1.04\\ 1.05\\ 1.06\\ 1.06\\ 1.06\\ 1.06\\ 1.08\\ 1.08\\ 1.08\\ 1.09\\ 1.09\\ 1.09\\ 1.09\\ 1.10\\ 1.11\\ 1.11\\ 1.12\\ 1.13\\ 1.13\\ 1.13\\ 1.14\\ 1.14\\ 1.14\\ 1.14\\ 1.15\\ 1.15\\ 1.15\\ 1.15\\ 1.15\\ 1.14\\ 1.12\\$
53	12/29/2019 -	12/31/2019	1.02	1.10

2019 PEAK SEASON FACTOR CATEGORY REPORT - REPORT TYPE: ALL

* PEAK SEASON





100% - 6% = 94% 1 / 94% = [1.06] CLEARWATER CRA APARTMENTS TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

ATTACHMENT D

FDOT GENERALIZED CAPACITY VALUES



Generalized Peak Hour Two-Way Volumes for Florida's

Urbanized Areas¹

					0.000					January 202				
	INTERF	RUPTED FI	LOW FACI	LITIES			UNINTEF	RUPTED FLO	N FACILITIES					
	STATE S	IGNALIZ	ZED ART	ERIALS				FREEWAY	Ś					
	Class I (40 r	nph or high	ner posted	speed limit				Core Urbaniz	ved					
Lanes	Median	B	С	D	E	Lanes	В	С	D	Е				
2	Undivided	*	1.510	1.600	**	4	4.050	5.640	6.800	7.420				
4	Divided	*	3,420	3.580	**	6	5.960	8.310	10.220	11.150				
6	Divided	*	5.250	5,390	**	8	7,840	10,960	13,620	14.850				
8	Divided	*	7.090	7.210	**	10	9,800	13,510	17.040	18,580				
			.,			12	11,600	16.350	20.930	23,200				
Lapas	Class II (35 1	mph or slov	wer posted	speed limi	t)	12	11,000	Urbanized	20,950	25,200				
\mathbf{F}_2	Undivided	*	660	1.330	1.410	Lanes	В	С	D	Е				
ں تیں ا	- Dividea	\mathbf{x}	Upper	in	my sur	4	4,130	5.640	7.070	7.690				
6	Divided	*	2.090	4.500	4.590	6	6.200	8,450	10.510	11.530				
8	Divided	*	2.880	6.060	6.130	8	8.270	11.270	13,960	15.380				
Ŭ	Divided		2,000	0,000	0,150	10	10,350	14 110	17 310	19,220				
						10	10,550	11,110	17,510	19,220				
	Non-State Si	ignalized F	Roadway A	Adjustmen	ts		F	reeway Adjust	ments					
	(Alte	r correspondi	ng state volu	nes			Auxiliary Lane	es .	Ramp					
	Non-State	Signalized 1	Roadways	- 10%		Present in Both Directions Metering								
	Cui	ů	<u> </u>	LUL			+ 1,800		+ 5%					
	Median	& Turn L	ane Adjus	tments		Ιī	ININTERR	UPTED FLO	W HIGHW	AYS				
Lanac	Madian	Exclusive	Exclu Dicht I	sive Ad	Justment	Lanes	Median	B	C D	E				
					actors	2	Undivided	1050 16	20 2 180	2 930				
$\mathbf{C}_{2}^{\mathbf{T}}$	Undivided	No	No	י ד ד ד ד ד)	-20%	4	Divided	3.270 4.7	30 5.960	6.780				
MALA.		un	J. J.	\mathbf{u}		6	Divided	4.910 7.0	90 8.950	10,180				
Multi	Undivided	No	No)	-25%		Dirided	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	10,100				
-	-	-	Ye	s	+ 5%		Uninterrupt	ed Flow Highw	yav Adiustme	ents				
						Lanes	Median	Exclusive left la	anes Adjust	ment factors				
	One-V	Way Facili	ity Adjusti	nent		2	Divided	Yes	5	+5%				
	Multiply t	the correspor	nding two-di	rectional		Multi	Undivided	Yes		-5%				
	VC	olumes in this	s table by 0.6)		Multi	Undivided	No		-25%				
		BICYCLE	MODE ²			¹ Values s	shown are presented	as peak hour direction	al volumes for leve	ls of service and				
	(Multiply	vehicle volum	nes shown bel	ow by numbe	r of	are for th	e automobile/truck	modes unless specifica	ally stated. This tabl	e does not				
	directional roadw	vay lanes to de	etermine two-	way maximu	m service	constitute	e a standard and sho	uld be used only for g	eneral planning app	lications. The				
		volun	nes.)			planning	applications. The ta	ble and deriving comp	outer models should	not be used for				
	Paved					corridor	or intersection desig	n, where more refined	techniques exist. C	alculations are				
Shou	lder/Bicycle					Service M	Manual.	ns of the ricivi and the	e Transit Capacity a	nd Quanty of				
Lan	e Coverage	В	С	D	E	² Level of	f service for the bic	cle and pedestrian mo	des in this table is b	based on				
	0-49%	*	260	680	1,770	number o	of vehicles, not num	ber of bicyclists or pe	destrians using the f	acility.				
	50-84%	190	600	1,770	>1,770	³ Buses pe	er hour shown are onl	ly for the peak hour in th	ne single direction of	the higher traffic				
8	5-100%	830	1,700	>1,770	**	now.								
	PF	DESTRIA	AN MODE	22		* Cannot	t be achieved using t	able input value defau	llts.					
(M	Iultiply vehicle vo	olumes shown	below by nu	mber of		** Not aj	pplicable for that lev	el of service letter gra	ide. For the automot	oile mode,				
dire	ectional roadway	lanes to deter	mine two-wa	y maximum s	ervice	been read	greater than level of ched. For the bicycle	e mode, the level of se	rvice letter grade (in	capacities have icluding F) is not				
		volun	nes.)			achievab	le because there is n	o maximum vehicle v	olume threshold usi	ng table input				
Sidew	alk Coverage	В	С	D	Е	value def	faults.							
	0-49%	*	*	250	850	Source:								
	50-84%	*	150	780	1,420	Systems	Implementation Off	ice						
8	5-100%	340	960	1,560	>1,770	https://w	ww.fdot.gov/planni	ng/systems/						
Ĭ	RUS MOI	DE (Sched	uled Five	Route) ³	,									
	(Buses	s in peak hour	in peak direc	tion)										
Sidew	alk Coverage	В	C	D	E									
	0-84%	> 5	≥4	\geq 3	≥2									
8	5-100%	> 4	≥ 3	≥ 2	≥ 1									
			_	_	-									

QUALITY/LEVEL OF SERVICE HANDBOOK

73

January 2020

CLEARWATER CRA APARTMENTS TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

ATTACHMENT E

INTERSECTION OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS



HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary 1: Dr. MLK Jr. Ave & Pierce Street

Clearwater CRA Workforce Housing AM Peak Hour Background Traffic Conditions

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	1	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			4			4			\$	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	4	0	1	1	0	2	1	133	3	6	144	8
Future Volume (veh/h)	4	0	1	1	0	2	1	133	3	6	144	8
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1856	1411	1648	1811	1900
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	4	0	1	1	0	2	1	137	3	6	148	8
Peak Hour Factor	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97
Percent Heavy Veh, %	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	33	17	6	0
Cap, veh/h	274	0	5	197	0	16	144	1067	23	157	995	52
Arrive On Green	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59
Sat Flow, veh/h	1205	0	301	537	0	1074	2	1806	39	16	1683	88
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	5	0	0	3	0	0	141	0	0	162	0	0
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1506	0	0	1612	0	0	1847	0	0	1787	0	0
Q Serve(g_s), s	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Prop In Lane	0.80		0.20	0.33		0.67	0.01		0.02	0.04		0.05
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	278	0	0	213	0	0	1234	0	0	1203	0	0
V/C Ratio(X)	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	1703	0	0	1715	0	0	4137	0	0	3981	0	0
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	12.3	0.0	0.0	12.3	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh	1											
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	12.4	0.0	0.0	12.4	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0
LnGrp LOS	В	A	A	В	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Approach Vol, veh/h		5			3			141			162	
Approach Delay, s/veh		12.4			12.4			2.3			2.4	
Approach LOS		В			В			A			A	
Timer - Assigned Phs		2		4		6		8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		20.0		5.4		20.0		5.4				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		5.0		5.0		5.0		5.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		55.0		25.0		55.0		25.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s		2.9		2.1		3.0		2.0				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		0.9		0.0		1.0		0.0				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			2.6									
HCM 6th LOS			А									

RAYSOR Transportation Consulting

HCM 6th TWSC 2: Washington Ave & Pierce Street

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	2.5											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	3	0	0	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Future Vol, veh/h	1	3	0	0	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	, # -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	2	5	0	0	6	0	0	2	2	0	0	0
Major/Minor N	Major1		1	Major2		1	Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	6	0	0	5	0	0	15	15	5	17	15	6
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	9	-	6	6	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	6	-	11	9	-
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.1	-	-	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.2	-	-	3.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1628	-	-	1630	-	-	1006	883	1084	1003	883	1083
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1017	892	-	1021	895	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1021	895	-	1015	892	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1628	-	-	1630	-	-	1005	882	1084	999	882	1083
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	1005	882	-	999	882	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1016	891	-	1020	895	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1021	895	-	1011	891	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	1.8			0			8.7			0		
HCM LOS							A			A		
Minor Lane/Maior Mym	t	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1			
Capacity (veh/h)		973	1628	-	-	1630	-	-	_			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.003	0.001	-	-	-	-	-	-			
HCM Control Delay (s)		8.7	7.2	0	-	0	-	-	0			
HCM Lane LOS		A	A	A	-	A	-	-	A			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0	0	-	-	0	-	-	-			

RAYSOR Transportation Consulting

HCM 6th TWSC 3: Gould Street & Washington Ave

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.3					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		đ	1		M	
Traffic Vol. veh/h	0	17	7	0	1	0
Future Vol. veh/h	0	17	7	0	1	0
Conflicting Peds. #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage	. # -	0	0	-	0	-
Grade %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	75	75	75	75	75	75
Heavy Vehicles %	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mymt Flow	0	23	g	0	1	0
	U	20	5	U		0
Major/Minor I	Major1	I	Major2	1	Minor2	
Conflicting Flow All	9	0	-	0	32	9
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	9	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	23	-
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	-	6.4	6.2
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.4	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.4	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	-	3.5	3.3
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1624	-	-	-	987	1079
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	1019	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	1005	-
Platoon blocked. %		-	-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1624	-	-	-	987	1079
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	987	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	1019	-
Stage 2	_	_	_	_	1005	_
Oldge 2	-			-	1005	-
Approach	EB		WB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		0		8.7	
HCM LOS					А	
	4		EDT			
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	IT	EBL	ERI	WBI	WRK :	SBLN1
Capacity (veh/h)		1624	-	-	-	987
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	-	-	0.001
HCM Control Delay (s)		0	-	-	-	8.7
HCM Lane LOS		A	-	-	-	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh))	0	-	-	-	0

RAYSOR Transportation Consulting

HCM 6th TWSC 100: Washington Ave & Project Site Driveway

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0					
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	M			đ	1.	
Traffic Vol. veh/h	0	0	0	2	1	0
Future Vol. veh/h	0	0	0	2	1	0
Conflicting Peds. #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	e. # 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade. %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	63	63	63	63	63	63
Heavy Vehicles %	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mymt Flow	0	0	Ő	3	2	0
	U	Ū	Ū	Ū	2	Ŭ
Major/Minor I	Minor2	N	Major1	N	/lajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	5	2	2	0	-	0
Stage 1	2	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	3	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.2	4.1	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	2.2	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1022	1088	1634	-	-	-
Stage 1	1026	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	1025	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1022	1088	1634	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	1022	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	1026	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	1025	-	-	-	-	-
ottigo =						
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		0		0	
HCM LOS	A					
Minor Lane/Major Mym	nt	NRI	NRTI	ERI n1	SBT	SBR
Consolity (yoh/h)	IL	1624	NDT		001	ODIX
		1034	-	-	-	-
HCM Control Dolor (a)		-	-	-	-	-
HCM Long LOS		0	-	0	-	-
LOM OF the Vitile Ofush)	A	-	А	-	-
now your whe Q(ven)	U	-	-	-	-

RAYSOR Transportation Consulting

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary 1: Dr. MLK Jr. Ave & Pierce Street

Clearwater CRA Workforce Housing PM Peak Hour Background Traffic Conditions

	۶	-	\mathbf{r}	4	-	•	1	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			4			4			\$	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	11	4	2	4	1	6	2	212	3	5	171	5
Future Volume (veh/h)	11	4	2	4	1	6	2	212	3	5	171	5
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1841	1900	1604	1885	1900
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	13	5	2	5	1	7	2	247	3	6	199	6
Peak Hour Factor	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86
Percent Heavy Veh, %	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	20	1	0
Cap, veh/h	282	22	9	223	7	50	138	1024	12	147	1019	30
Arrive On Green	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57
Sat Flow, veh/h	1025	394	158	621	124	869	3	1811	22	13	1801	53
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	20	0	0	13	0	0	252	0	0	211	0	0
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1577	0	0	1614	0	0	1835	0	0	1867	0	0
Q Serve(g_s), s	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0
Prop In Lane	0.65		0.10	0.38		0.54	0.01		0.01	0.03		0.03
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	314	0	0	280	0	0	1175	0	0	1196	0	0
V/C Ratio(X)	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.00
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	1681	0	0	1657	0	0	3934	0	0	3975	0	0
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	11.9	0.0	0.0	11.9	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	12.0	0.0	0.0	11.9	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0
LnGrp LOS	В	A	A	В	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Approach Vol, veh/h		20			13			252			211	
Approach Delay, s/veh		12.0			11.9			3.0			2.9	
Approach LOS		В			В			А			А	
Timer - Assigned Phs		2		4		6		8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		20.0		6.5		20.0		6.5				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		5.0		5.0		5.0		5.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		55.0		25.0		55.0		25.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s		3.8		2.3		3.5		2.2				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		1.6		0.0		1.3		0.0				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			3.5									
HCM 6th LOS			Α									

RAYSOR Transportation Consulting

HCM 6th TWSC 2: Washington Ave & Pierce Street

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	3.2											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		- 4 >			- 44			- 44			- 44	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	13	1	1	2	0	1	3	2	0	0	2
Future Vol, veh/h	1	13	1	1	2	0	1	3	2	0	0	2
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	, # -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	86	86	86	86	86	86	86	86	86	86	86	86
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	1	15	1	1	2	0	1	3	2	0	0	2
Major/Minor M	Major1		1	Major2		1	Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	2	0	0	16	0	0	23	22	16	24	22	2
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	18	-	4	4	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	4	-	20	18	-
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.1	-	-	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.2	-	-	3.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1634	-	-	1615	-	-	994	876	1069	993	876	1088
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1006	884	-	1024	897	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1022	897	-	1004	884	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1634	-	-	1615	-	-	990	874	1069	986	874	1088
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	990	874	-	986	874	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1005	883	-	1023	896	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1019	896	-	997	883	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0.5			2.4			8.8			8.3		
HCM LOS							А			А		
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	t	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1			
Capacity (veh/h)		950	1634	-	-	1615	-	-	1088			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.007	0.001	-	-	0.001	-	-	0.002			
HCM Control Delay (s)		8.8	7.2	0	-	7.2	0	-	8.3			
HCM Lane LOS		A	А	A	-	А	A	-	A			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0	0	-	-	0	-	-	0			

RAYSOR Transportation Consulting

HCM 6th TWSC 3: Gould Street & Washington Ave

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	1.5					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		4	t.		M	
Traffic Vol. veh/h	3	12	6	3	2	0
Future Vol. veh/h	3	12	6	3	2	0
Conflicting Peds. #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-		-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage	e. # -	0	0	-	0	-
Grade. %	-	0	0		0	-
Peak Hour Factor	78	78	78	78	78	78
Heavy Vehicles. %	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mymt Flow	4	15	8	4	3	0
		10	Ū		Ŭ	v
Major/Minor	Major1	Ν	Major2		Minor2	
Conflicting Flow All	12	0	-	0	33	10
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	10	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	23	-
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	-	6.4	6.2
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.4	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.4	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	-	3.5	3.3
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1620	-	-	-	986	1077
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	1018	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	1005	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1620	-	-	-	984	1077
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	984	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	1016	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	1005	-
A					00	
Approach	EB		WB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	1.4		0		8.7	
HCM LOS					A	
Minor Lane/Maior Mym	nt	EBI	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Canacity (veh/h)		1620				984
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.002	-	_	_	0.003
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.2	٥			8.000
HCM Lane LOS		Δ	Δ	-	-	Δ
HCM 95th %tile O(veh)	0	-			0
	/	0	_	_	_	0

RAYSOR Transportation Consulting

HCM 6th TWSC 100: Washington Ave & Project Site Driveway

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0					
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	W			ជ	14	
Traffic Vol. veh/h	0	0	0	6	2	0
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	0	6	2	0
Conflicting Peds. #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	, # 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	. 0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	86	86	86	86	86	86
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mymt Flow	0	0	0	7	2	0
	-	-	-			-
Main #/Min an	1:0		Astend	Δ	4-1-0	
Major/Minor I	vlinor2		/lajor1		/lajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	9	2	2	0	-	0
Stage 1	2	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	7	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.2	4.1	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	2.2	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1017	1088	1634	-	-	-
Stage 1	1026	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	1021	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1017	1088	1634	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	1017	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	1026	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	1021	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	FB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay	0		0		0	
HCM LOS	Δ		0		0	
	А					
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	t	NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)		1634	-	-	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	-	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		0	-	0	-	-
HCM Lane LOS		А	-	А	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh))	0	-	-	-	-

RAYSOR Transportation Consulting

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary 1: Dr. MLK Jr. Ave & Pierce Street

Clearwater CRA Workforce Housing AM Peak Hour Post-Development Traffic Conditions

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	×	1	1	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			4			\$			4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	4	0	1	1	0	21	1	133	3	11	144	8
Future Volume (veh/h)	4	0	1	1	0	21	1	133	3	11	144	8
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1856	1411	1648	1811	1900
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	4	0	1	1	0	22	1	137	3	11	148	8
Peak Hour Factor	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97
Percent Heavy Veh, %	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	33	17	6	0
Cap, veh/h	308	0	15	146	0	76	139	1030	22	165	947	49
Arrive On Green	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57
Sat Flow, veh/h	1240	0	310	70	0	1547	2	1806	39	34	1660	85
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	5	0	0	23	0	0	141	0	0	167	0	0
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1550	0	0	1617	0	0	1847	0	0	1779	0	0
Q Serve(g_s), s	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0
Prop In Lane	0.80		0.20	0.04		0.96	0.01		0.02	0.07		0.05
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	323	0	0	223	0	0	1192	0	0	1161	0	0
V/C Ratio(X)	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.00
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	1628	0	0	1667	0	0	3993	0	0	3806	0	0
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	11.9	0.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh	ı											
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	11.9	0.0	0.0	12.2	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0
LnGrp LOS	В	А	А	В	А	А	А	А	А	А	А	A
Approach Vol, veh/h		5			23			141			167	
Approach Delay, s/veh		11.9			12.2			2.7			2.7	
Approach LOS		В			В			А			А	
Timer - Assigned Phs		2		4		6		8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		20.0		6.3		20.0		6.3				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		5.0		5.0		5.0		5.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		55.0		25.0		55.0		25.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+l1), s		2.9		2.1		3.2		2.4				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		0.9		0.0		1.1		0.1				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			3.5									
HCM 6th LOS			А									

RAYSOR Transportation Consulting

HCM 6th TWSC 2: Washington Ave & Pierce Street

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	6.5											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	3	5	1	4	0	19	7	4	0	2	0
Future Vol, veh/h	1	3	5	1	4	0	19	7	4	0	2	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	, # -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	2	5	8	2	6	0	30	11	6	0	3	0
Major/Minor M	Major1		1	Major2		1	Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	6	0	0	13	0	0	25	23	9	32	27	6
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	13	-	10	10	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	10	-	22	17	-
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.1	-	-	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.2	-	-	3.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1628	-	-	1619	-	-	991	874	1079	981	870	1083
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1013	889	-	1016	891	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1014	891	-	1002	885	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1628	-	-	1619	-	-	987	872	1079	964	868	1083
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	987	872	-	964	868	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1012	888	-	1015	890	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1009	890	-	983	884	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0.8			1.4			8.9			9.2		
HCM LOS							А			А		
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	t	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1			
Capacity (veh/h)		968	1628	-	-	1619	-	-	868			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.049	0.001	-	-	0.001	-	-	0.004			
HCM Control Delay (s)		8.9	7.2	0	-	7.2	0	-	9.2			
HCM Lane LOS		A	A	A	-	A	A	-	A			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.2	0	-	-	0	-	-	0			

RAYSOR Transportation Consulting

HCM 6th TWSC 3: Gould Street & Washington Ave

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	5					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		đ	4		- M	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	6	17	7	4	13	22
Future Vol, veh/h	6	17	7	4	13	22
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage	e, # -	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	75	75	75	75	75	75
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	8	23	9	5	17	29
Major/Minor	Maior1	Ν	Maior2	I	Minor2	
Conflicting Flow All	14	0	-	0	51	12
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	12	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	39	-
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	-	6.4	6.2
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-		-	5.4	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.4	-
Follow-up Hdwv	2.2	-	-	-	3.5	3.3
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1617	-	-	-	963	1074
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	1016	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	989	-
Platoon blocked. %		-	-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1617	-	-	-	958	1074
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	958	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	1011	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	989	-
Annroach	FR		W/P		SB	
HCM Control Delay	1.0		0		87	
HCM LOS	1.9		0		0.7	
					~	
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)		1617	-	-	-	1028
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.005	-	-	-	0.045
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.2	0	-	-	8.7
HCM Lane LOS		А	A	-	-	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	-	0.1

RAYSOR Transportation Consulting

HCM 6th TWSC 100: Washington Ave & Project Site Driveway

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	7.5					
Movement	EBI	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	M	LDIK	, DE	1	1	UDIN
	- T 28	3/	10	N	1	Q
Future Vol. veh/h	20	2/	10	2	1	0 Q
Conflicting Dode #/br	20	04	10	2		0
Connicting Peas, #/nr	U Ctore	U Ctore	U	U	U	U
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RI Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	e,#0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	63	63	63	63	63	63
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	44	54	16	3	2	13
N 4 - i / A 4			A - 1 - 4		4-1-0	
	winor2		viajor1	N	viajor2	-
Conflicting Flow All	44	9	15	0	-	0
Stage 1	9	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	35	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.2	4.1	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	2.2	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	972	1079	1616	-	-	-
Stage 1	1019	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	993	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked %	000			_	_	_
Mov Cap 1 Manouver	062	1070	1616	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	902	1019	1010	-	-	-
Nov Cap-2 Maneuver	902	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	1009	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	993	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay s	8.9		6		0	
HCM LOS	Δ		0		0	
	л					
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (yeh/h)		1616	-	1023	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.01	-	0.096	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s))	7 2	0	8.9	-	-
HCM Lane LOS		Δ	Δ	Δ	-	-
HCM 95th %tile O(veh)	0	A	03		-
Now Sour Wile Q(Ven	1	0	-	0.5	-	-

RAYSOR Transportation Consulting

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary 1: Dr. MLK Jr. Ave & Pierce Street

Clearwater CRA Workforce Housing PM Peak Hour Post-Development Traffic Conditions

	≯	-	\mathbf{F}	4	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	11	4	2	4	1	17	2	212	3	23	171	5
Future Volume (veh/h)	11	4	2	4	1	17	2	212	3	23	171	5
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1841	1900	1604	1885	1900
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	13	5	2	5	1	20	2	247	3	27	199	6
Peak Hour Factor	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86
Percent Heavy Veh, %	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	20	1	0
Cap, veh/h	298	30	12	182	5	94	136	1004	12	194	939	26
Arrive On Green	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55
Sat Flow, veh/h	1030	396	159	312	62	1247	3	1810	22	82	1694	47
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	20	0	0	26	0	0	252	0	0	232	0	0
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1585	0	0	1622	0	0	1835	0	0	1823	0	0
Q Serve(g_s), s	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0
Prop In Lane	0.65		0.10	0.19		0.77	0.01		0.01	0.12		0.03
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	340	0	0	281	0	0	1152	0	0	1160	0	0
V/C Ratio(X)	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	1638	0	0	1624	0	0	3856	0	0	3739	0	0
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	11.7	0.0	0.0	11.7	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh	1											
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	11.8	0.0	0.0	11.9	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0
LnGrp LOS	В	А	А	В	А	А	А	А	А	А	А	A
Approach Vol, veh/h		20			26			252			232	
Approach Delay, s/veh		11.8			11.9			3.2			3.1	
Approach LOS		В			В			А			А	
Timer - Assigned Phs		2		4		6		8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		20.0		7.0		20.0		7.0				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		5.0		5.0		5.0		5.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		55.0		25.0		55.0		25.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s		3.9		2.3		3.7		2.4				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		1.6		0.0		1.5		0.1				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			3.9									
HCM 6th LOS			А									

RAYSOR Transportation Consulting

HCM 6th TWSC 2: Washington Ave & Pierce Street

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	4.4											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	13	19	4	2	0	12	6	4	0	6	2
Future Vol, veh/h	1	13	19	4	2	0	12	6	4	0	6	2
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	, # -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	86	86	86	86	86	86	86	86	86	86	86	86
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	1	15	22	5	2	0	14	7	5	0	7	2
Major/Minor M	Major1		1	Major2		1	Minor1		1	Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	2	0	0	37	0	0	45	40	26	46	51	2
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	28	-	12	12	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	12	-	34	39	-
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.1	-	-	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.2	-	-	3.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1634	-	-	1587	-	-	962	856	1056	961	844	1088
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	994	876	-	1014	890	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1008	890	-	987	866	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1634	-	-	1587	-	-	951	853	1056	948	841	1088
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	951	853	-	948	841	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	993	875	-	1013	887	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	995	887	-	974	865	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0.2			4.9			8.9			9.1		
HCM LOS							А			А		
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	ıt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1			
Capacity (veh/h)		939	1634	-	-	1587	-	-	892			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.027	0.001	-	-	0.003	-	-	0.01			
HCM Control Delay (s)		8.9	7.2	0	-	7.3	0	-	9.1			
HCM Lane LOS		A	A	A	-	A	A	-	A			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh))	0.1	0	-	-	0	-	-	0			

RAYSOR Transportation Consulting

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	4.7					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		1	1.		M	02.1
Traffic Vol. veh/h	24	শ 12	6	15	q	13
Future Vol. veh/h	24	12	6	15	9	13
Conflicting Peds #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	1166	None	1166	None	otop	None
Storage Length			_		0	
Veh in Median Storage	- # -	0	0		0	_
Grade %	,π -	0	0		0	_
Deak Hour Factor	78	78	78	78	78	78
	10	10	10	10	10	10
Mumt Flow	21	15	0	10	10	17
	31	15	0	19	IZ	17
Major/Minor	Major1	Ν	Major2	Ν	Minor2	
Conflicting Flow All	27	0	-	0	95	18
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	18	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	77	-
Critical Hdwv	4.1	-	-	-	6.4	6.2
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.4	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.4	-
Follow-up Hdwy	22	-	-	-	3.5	33
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1600	-	-	-	909	1066
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	1010	-
Stage 2	-	_	-	_	951	-
Platoon blocked %		-	-		501	
Mov Cap 1 Maneuver	1600				801	1066
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1000	_	_	_	801	1000
	-	-	-	-	000	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	990	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	901	-
Approach	EB		WB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	4.9		0		8.8	
HCM LOS					A	
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)		1600	-	-	-	987
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.019	-	-	-	0.029
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.3	0	-	-	8.8
HCM Lane LOS		A	Α	-	-	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh))	0.1	-	-	-	0.1

RAYSOR Transportation Consulting

HCM 6th TWSC 100: Washington Ave & Project Site Driveway

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	5.4					
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	M			1	1	
Traffic Vol. veh/h	16	20	33	T	2	27
Future Vol. veh/h	16	20	33	6	2	27
Conflicting Peds #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
PT Channelized	Stop	None	TIEE	None	TICC	None
Storage Length	0	NULLE	-	NULLE	-	NULLE
Veh in Median Storage	. # 0	-	-	-	0	-
Crode %	i, # 0	-	-	0	0	-
Blade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
	00	00	00	00	00	00
Heavy vehicles, %	10	0	0	0	0	0
IVIVITIE FIOW	19	23	38	1	2	31
Major/Minor I	Vinor2	N	Major1	Ν	Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	101	18	33	0	-	0
Stage 1	18	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	83	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.2	4.1	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Sto 2	5.4	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwv	3.5	3.3	2.2	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	902	1066	1592	-	-	-
Stage 1	1010	-		-	-	-
Stage 2	945	_	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked %	010			-	-	-
Mov Can-1 Maneuver	880	1066	1592	-		-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	880	-1000	1002	_		_
	980	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	900 015	-	-	-	-	-
Slaye Z	540	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	8.9		6.2		0	
HCM LOS	А					
	4		NOT		ODT	000
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	It	NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBL	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)		1592	-	974	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.024	-	0.043	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.3	0	8.9	-	-
HCM Lane LOS		А	А	Α	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh))	0.1	-	0.1	-	-

RAYSOR Transportation Consulting

CLEARWATER CRA APARTMENTS TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

ATTACHMENT F

SITE ACCESS TURN LANE WARRANT ANALYSIS





ATTACHMENT F - 1 of 1